

## PEER EVALUATION FORM FOR CLINICAL SCHOLAR PROGRAM

Date: 10/29/2021

Session: LP Simulation

Physician: Jasmine May

Observer: Josh Peterson

Brief Description of Session:

Practice performing an LP in the Sim Lab

Please rate the following statements that represent your appraisal of the issue.

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Not Applicable to this Situation	Couldn't Tell	Not Qualified to Judge
	O	E	G	F	P	NA	CT	NQ
<b>Presentation Skills</b>								
Identified objectives at the beginning of the session	✓							
Demonstrated ability to communicate orally	↓							
Answered questions clearly and in a helpful manner	↓							
Used appropriate methods for the activities presented	↓							
Used appropriate instructional materials	↓							
Implemented activities and information in a logical sequence	↓							
Provided audience with opportunities to participate	↓							
Communicated content/information that was technically accurate	↓							
Established rapport with audience	↓							
Used the visual aids effectively	↓							
The instructional materials/handouts were interesting and easy to understand	↓							
<b>Personal Skills</b>								
Showed respect for all in the audience	✓							
Spoke clearly and enthusiastically	↓							
Demonstrated professional/ethical behavior	↓							
Demonstrated self-confidence	↓							
Demonstrated a sense of humor	↓							

Developed by Kadriye O Lewis, Ed.D  
 Online Master's Program for Healthcare Professionals  
 Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center

Body Language (Maintained eye contact)	✓							
Voice (Varied rate, pitch, volume for emphasis)	↓							
<b>Organizational Skills</b>	<b>O</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>CT</b>	<b>NQ</b>
The presenter was prepared to take charge.	✓							
There was a clearly stated introduction.	↓							
The sequencing of the subject material was logical.	↓							
The presenter demonstrated ability to work with groups.	↓							
The time was used effectively.	↓							
<b>Content</b>	<b>O</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>CT</b>	<b>NQ</b>
The topics covered were relevant to audience work.	✓							
The topic was treated in sufficient depth.	↓							
Terms were clearly explained.	↓							
<b>Facility/Equipment</b>	<b>O</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>CT</b>	<b>NQ</b>
The room was comfortable.	✓							
The room was free from distractions.	↓							
The computer equipment, including hardware, was set up correctly and worked correctly.	↓							

**THINGS THAT WORKED**

**QUESTIONS AND SUGGESTIONS**

It was really helpful that you were patient and encouraging while I was struggling with the LP. Having you place the needle and show me/explain what you did differently was also great

**Other Comments:**

The models had some technical difficulties but you did good troubleshooting.